

Nature, vol. 442, 07/13/2006, pg 164-171.

1. It's easy to suggest improvements on the BrainGate™ . What do you think would be a straightforward, feasible next step?
2. a. Two points that surprised you OR: 2.b. Two things that you can't understand from this paper. (answer either one or both of these questions)
3. What was the bottleneck for this technology to take off?
4. Cite at least one failure mode with this project (why wouldn't it work in a long-term situation? What would be the first technical problem they would run into?)