

HMK # 5 Solutions

1

2) BINARY NUMBERS

8-bit representation

(59) \rightarrow Negative number \rightarrow Signed Number Representation :

$$(-59)_{10} = (\underbrace{1}_{\text{sign bit}} \underbrace{0111011}_{\text{magnitude}})_2 = X$$

59 \rightarrow Positive number \rightarrow unsigned Number Representation :

$$(59)_{10} = (00111011)_2 = Y$$

1's Complement Representation = $\bar{Y} = (11000100)_2$ $\begin{array}{r} 11000100 \\ + 1 \\ \hline 11000101 \end{array}$

2's Complement Representation = $\bar{Y} + 1 = (11000101)_2$ $\begin{array}{r} 11000101 \\ \hline \end{array}$

(126) \rightarrow $(01111110)_2$

(-126) \rightarrow ~~$(11111110)_2$~~ \rightarrow It is not asked

$Y = 01111110$

Signed: 01111110

1's Complement = ~~$\bar{Y} = (10000001)_2$~~

1's complement: 01111110

2's complement = ~~$\bar{Y} + 1 = (10000010)_2$~~

2's compl: 01111110

NOTE: 1's and 2's complement representations derivations are needed only for negative (signed) numbers.

Obs - In exams, if you answer out of order and I can't find it (in this case, -59-500-126-500 was the order - it's usually for...)

10-bit Representation

(2)

(500)₁₀ = (011110100)₂
 ↑
 X = 011110100

~~(-500)₁₀ = (111110100)₂~~
 ↑
 sign bit
 Magnitude for
 these are the answers
~~1000001011~~
 +
~~1~~
~~1000001100~~
 = -500

1's complement ⇒ ~~X̄ = (1000001011)₂~~
 2's complement ⇒ ~~X̄ + 1 = (1000001100)₂~~

(3) Adding / Subtracting in 2's complement

X = -200 (-200)₁₀ = (111001000)₂ (200)₁₀ = (011001000)₂
 Y = 100 (100)₁₀ = (001100100)₂
 1's complement
 ↪ 100110111
 +
 1
 (-200) 2's comple. → 100111000

∴ X + Y = 100111000
 + 001100100

NO OVERFLOW! ← 0110011100 ← Result in 2's complement Notation

110011011 ← Result in one's complement Notation

001100100 ← Result in binary form

-(001100100)₂ = -(100)₁₀ = (-100)₁₀ ✓

$$X - Y = (-200) + (-100) = (-X) + (-Y)$$

$$(-200)_{10} = (100111000)_2 \leftarrow \text{two's complement}$$

$$(100)_{10} = 001100100$$

$$+ \begin{array}{r} 110011011 \\ \hline \end{array} \leftarrow \text{one's complement}$$

$$(-100)_{10} = (110011100)_2 \leftarrow \text{2's complement}$$

$$(-X) + (-Y) = \begin{array}{r} \boxed{10} \leftarrow \text{OVERFLOW} \\ 100111000 \\ + 110011100 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{OVERFLOW!} \\ \text{CARRY} \\ \text{(IGNORE FOR THE ANSWER)} \leftarrow \text{①}011010100 \end{array}$$

$$\text{Result} \rightarrow (011010011)_2 \leftarrow \text{one's complement}$$

$$\text{Result} \rightarrow (100101100)_2 \leftarrow \text{binary number rep.}$$

$$= (300)_{10} \checkmark$$

Note: The answer should have been -300 but we would have needed to represent these numbers (operands) with 10-bits so we would be able to represent the sign bit for the result.

X = 239 Y = -56

(239)₁₀ = 011101111

100010000
+ 1

(-239)₁₀ = (100010001)₂ ⇐ 2's complement rep.

(56)₁₀ = 000111000

111000111
+ 1

(-56)₁₀ = 111001000 ⇐ 2's complement rep.

X + Y = X + (-Y) = $\begin{matrix} 011101111 \\ + 111001000 \\ \hline \end{matrix}$

~~overflow~~ CARRY ← (1)010110111

After truncating '1' from ~~overflow~~ we obtain: (010110111)₂ = (183)₁₀ ✓

There is no OVERFLOW. The result is "right"

X - Y = X - (-Y) = X + Y

$\begin{matrix} 011101111 \\ + 000111000 \\ \hline \end{matrix}$
NO OVERFLOW! ← 0(100100111)₂ = (295)₁₀ ✓

$X = 64$
 $Y = 243$

$(64)_{10} = 001000000$
 110111111
 $+ \quad \quad \quad 1$
 $(-64)_{10} = (111000000)_2 \leftarrow 2\text{'s complement.}$

$(243)_{10} = 011110011$
 100001100
 $+ \quad \quad \quad 1$
 $(-243)_{10} = (100001101)_2 \leftarrow 2\text{'s complement}$

$X + Y =$
 $\begin{array}{r} 001000000 \\ + 011110011 \\ \hline 100110011 \end{array}$
 $(100110011)_2 = (307)_{10}$

There is overflow,
 No overflow!

Note:
 The 2's compl. representation of this number does not fit in 9 bits.

$X - Y = X + (-Y) =$
 $\begin{array}{r} 001000000 \\ + 100001101 \\ \hline 101001101 \end{array}$
 $(101001101)_2$

No overflow!
 CARRY

This is a negative number (not the answer we were expecting. That is corroborated by the detection of overflow.)

$101001100 \leftarrow \text{one's comp.}$
 $\checkmark (179)_{10} = (010110011)_2 \leftarrow \text{binary}$

X = -177

Y = -156

(177)₁₀ = (010110001)₂

+ 101001110

(-177)₁₀ = (101001111)₂ ⇐ 2's compl. rep.

(156)₁₀ = (010011100)₂

+ 101100011

-156)₁₀ = (101100100)₂ ⇐ 2's comp. rep.

X + Y = (-X) + (-Y) = 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 + 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0

OVERFLOW!

CARRY (disregard)

1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1

(010110010)₂ ⇐ 1's comp. result rep.

~~1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1~~

1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 ⇐ binary result representation.

= (333)₁₀ ✓

NOTICE THAT WE WOULD NEED 10-BITS TO REPRESENT NEGATIVE 300 AND 33!!

X - Y = (-X) - (-Y) = (-X) + Y

NO OVERFLOW!

1 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 (-177)₁₀

+ 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 (156)₁₀

1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 ⇐ 2's complement

1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 ⇐ 1's complement

0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 ⇐ binary represent.

-(000010101)₂ = -(21)₁₀ ✓

4) Digital Codes

NOTE: Adding '1' will make the number of '1's' odd.

a) 8-bit ASCII code
MSB with odd parity

of 1's = 4
4 is even
so parity bit = 1

- M = (77)₁₀ = (1001101)₂ Parity bit = 1
- a = (97)₁₀ = (~~1100001~~)₂ (1100001)₂ Parity bit = 0
- r = (114)₁₀ = (1110010)₂ Parity bit = 1
- c = (99)₁₀ = (1100011)₂ Parity bit = 1
- e = (101)₁₀ = (1100101)₂ Parity bit = 1
- l = (108)₁₀ = (1101100)₂ Parity bit = 1
- l = (108)₁₀ = (1101100)₂ Parity bit = 1
- o = (111)₁₀ = (1101111)₂ Parity bit = 1

M a r c

11001101 - 01100001 - 11110010 - 11100011

e l l o

11100101 - 11101100 - 11101100 - 11101111

b) BCD representations for unsigned decimal numbers have the range from 0 to 9 (0000 to 1001). 4-bit patterns not lying on that range should not occur on digital circuits and are considered as "don't cares".

0011 1100 0101 1010 . 0111 1110

- 3 X 5 X 3 7 X

c)

- 1 2 3 4

- 0001 0010 . 0011 0100

- 7 6 9 8 . 5 6 1

- 0111 0110 1001 1000 . 0101 0110 0001

(5) $F = \bar{g}\bar{m}\bar{u}t + gm\bar{u}t + \bar{g}m\bar{u}t + g\bar{m}\bar{u}\bar{t} + gm\bar{u}\bar{t} + \bar{g}\bar{m}ut$

g	m	u	t	F
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
1	1	1	0	0
1	1	1	1	1



Function to be implemented on VHDL:

$F = g\bar{u}\bar{t} + \bar{g}\bar{m}t + m\bar{u}t$

VHDL CODE:

```

Library ieee;
use ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

```

```

entity hwk5 is
port ( g: in std_logic;
      m, u, t: in std_logic;
      F: out std_logic );
end hwk5;

```

```

architecture hwk5_arch of hwk5 is

```

```

begin

```

```

F <= (g and (not u) and (not t)) OR
      (not g) and (not m) and t) OR
      (m and u and t);

```

```

end hwk5 hwk5_arch;

```

6) Tabular Minimization Quine - McCluskey Method.

$$Q(a,b,c,d) = \sum 0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 13, 15 + d(9, 12, 14)$$

First make a list of minterms from the fraction and look for pairs that differ with a single bit.

Example: mid term 0 and mid term 1
0000 0001
Only differ with the last bit so they can be combined as 0,1 - 000X

List 1 $\xrightarrow{\text{combining to make list 2}}$ List 2

0	0	0	0	0	✓
1	0	0	0	1	✓
3	0	0	1	1	✓
4	0	1	0	0	✓
7	0	1	1	1	✓
9	1	0	0	1	✓
11	1	0	1	1	✓
12	1	1	0	0	✓
13	1	1	0	1	✓
14	1	1	1	0	✓
15	1	1	1	1	✓

①	0, 1	000X
②	0, 4	0X00
	1, 3	00X1 ✓
	1, 9	X001 ✓
	3, 7	0X11 ✓
	3, 11	X011 ✓
③	4, 12	X100
④	7, 15	X111 ✓
	9, 11	10X1 ✓
	9, 13	1X01 ✓
	11, 13	1X11 ✓
	12, 13	110X ✓
	12, 14	11X0 ✓
	13, 15	11X1 ✓
	14, 15	111X ✓

* checks are given to minterms that are included in the combinations

this is included in ⑤

- Now combining minterms from List 2 we get:

List 3

1, 3, 9, 11	X 0 X 1 ✓
1, 9, 3, 11	X 0 X 1 ✓
3, 7, 11, 15	X X 1 1 (5)
9, 11, 13, 15	1 X X 1 ✓
9, 13, 11, 15	1 X X 1 ✓
12, 13, 14, 15	1 1 X X ✓
12, 14, 13, 15	1 1 X X ✓

- Further combining List 4

1, 3, 9, 11, 1, 9, 3, 11	X 0 X 1 (6)
9, 11, 13, 15, 9, 13, 11, 15	1 X X 1 (7)
12, 13, 14, 15, 12, 14, 13, 15	1 1 X X (8)

The minterms that do not have a check mark and are numbered are our prime implicants. They could not have been combined any further.

Now we make a table that shows what minterms our prime implicants cover.

Ex. p5 covers : 0011, 0111, 1011, 1111
 3 7 11 15

"don't cares not need to be listed"

Prime Implicants	Minterms							
	0	1	3	4	7	11	13	15
P1 = 000X	✓	✓						
P2 = 0X00	✓			✓				
P3 = X100				✓				
P4 = X111					✓			✓
P5 = XX11			✓		✓	✓		✓
P6 = X0X1		✓	✓					
P7 = 1XX1						✓	✓	✓
P8 = 11XX							✓	✓

- Row Domination - P2 dominates P3
- P5 dominates P4
- P7 dominates P8

So P3, P4, P8 can be discarded

Our New Table

Prime Implicants	0	1	3	4	7	11	13	15
$P_1 = 000X$	✓	✓						
$P_2 = 0X00$	✓			✓				
$P_5 = XX11$			✓		✓	✓		✓
$P_6 = X0X1$		✓	✓					
$P_7 = 1XX1$						✓	✓	✓

- Now we have P_2 as the only essential prime implicant. i.e. P_4 is the only one that covers minterm 4. Also P_5 is the only one that covers minterm 7. And P_7 is the only one that covers minterm 13. After removing the essential prime implicants row and the columns they cover we get:

Prime Implicants	Minterms
$P_1 = 000X$	1 ✓
$P_6 = X0X1$	

Now we see that P_6 does not cover any minterms that are left.

Complete cover can be $C = \{P_1\}$

∴ The function can be realized as $Q = \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{c}$

- From the previous table we can see that P6 can be discarded since it doesn't cover any minterms left.

So including our essential prime implicants and P1, our complete cover can be:

$$C = \{P1, P2, P5, P7\}$$

∴ The function can be realized

$$\text{as } Q = \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{a}\bar{b}c + cd + ad$$

We can even check this answer by minimizing it with the simpler K-map method.

	ab			
	00	01	11	10
cd	00	01	11	10
00	1	1	d	
01	1		1	d
11	1	1	1	1
10			d	

$$Q = \bar{a}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} + cd + ad$$

less cost result:

$$Q = \bar{a}\bar{c}\bar{d} + \bar{b}d + ad + cd$$

difference is that ~~is to~~ instead of P1 I'd have picked P6 to cover minterm 1.

P6 is "cheaper" than P1